International Journal of Mosquito Research

ISSN: **2348-5906**
CODEN: **IJMRK2**
IJMR 2018; 5(2): 01-08

Received: 01-01-2018
Accepted: 02-02-2018

Nalini Mishra
Consultant, Editorial Assistant at Journal of Vector Borne Diseases, National Institute of Malaria Research, ICMR, Delhi, India

Nidhi Krishna Shrivastava
Research Scholar at University of Delhi, India

Ajay Nayak
Assistant Editor at Journal of Vector Borne Diseases, National Institute of Malaria Research, ICMR, Delhi, India

Himmat Singh
Scientist D at National Institute of Malaria Research, ICMR, Delhi, India

Correspondence
Nalini Mishra
Consultant, Editorial Assistant at Journal of Vector Borne Diseases, National Institute of Malaria Research, ICMR, Delhi, India

Wolbachia: A prospective solution to mosquito borne diseases

Nalini Mishra, Nidhi Krishna Shrivastava, Ajay Nayak, and Himmat Singh

Abstract
Malaria, Dengue, Japanese encephalitis Chikungunya, lymphatic filariasis etc. are among the common vector borne diseases distributed across the world. Mosquitoes are main cause of transmission of these diseases. These diseases have such a huge impact on mortalities and economic burden that most of the countries where these diseases are prevailing have National Vector control programs like insecticide spray but methods like this only have a short-term effectiveness as on a long run they lead to the development of resistance in the insects thus worsening the situation. We were waiting for environmentally safe way to overcome this situation and to our rescue, came an obligate maternally inherited endosymbiont of several insects, *Wolbachia* with the way to manipulate reproduction by causing cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI). Now the problem was of infecting vectors with this symbiont. Here, in this review we will discuss various aspects of *Wolbachia* and its role in manipulating reproduction and thus its role in mosquito's population suppression strategies and limitations of using *Wolbachia.* Particularly inspiring about this story is how ecologists and evolutionary biologists ended up being the ones to figure out a way to eliminate viral infections.

Keywords: *Wolbachia*, *Aedes albopictus*, *Drosophila*, cytoplasmic incompatibility, Dengue, Chikungunya, Malaria, Vector

Introduction
Insect borne diseases like malaria, chikungunya, dengue etc. mainly those transmitted by mosquito are a reason to worry as they are affecting more than half of the world population. The measures like insecticide treated bed net are ineffective due to day biting habits of dengue vectors In spite of several mosquito control measures are being used the transmission is persisting and appearing every year. The cities have also reached to the tolerance level of pollution (Delhi 600-700 ppm), the application of any type of insecticide in air in form of fogging may prove fatal to the residing population as well as increase residual toxicity of the cities. Therefore, there is a need to have innovative, sustainable & environmental friendly tool for controlling the mosquito borne transmission of diseases.

One of such technology is species modification and replacement technology. *Wolbachia* an endosymbiont offers us a great way to deal with the problem by its way of manipulating reproduction by cytoplasmic incompatibility, life shortening strategy or by blocking viral transmission. Two strains of *Wolbachia pipientis*, a maternally inherited bacterium known as wAlbA and wAlbB are found to be present in the wild population of *Ae. albopictus* [1, 2]. However, *Aedes aegypti* is uninfected with the bacterium in the wild. Recent work on dissemination of dengue and chikungunya virus [3] by transferring over-replicating strain of *Wolbachia* wMelPop from *D. melanogaster* to *Ae. aegypti* [4] has shown the inhibitory effect. Reduced susceptibility to dengue [5] was found on transfer of the wAlbB strain from *Ae. albopictus* into *Ae. aegypti* [6]. Cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) is induced by both strains of *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti*, which leads to death of embryos shortly after fertilization of uninfected female by infected male. Using this there is a frequency-dependent reproductive advantage and *Wolbachia* can easily be spread in insect population as infected member can mate equally well with infected or uninfected member [7-9]. Thus, this mechanism offers us a lucrative disease control method specifically of dengue by *Ae. aegypti* which is difficult to control by other methods [10].

There is a chronic upregulation of immune response basically the Toll pathway in *Ae. aegypti* by wMelPop strain [3, 11] leading to dengue dissemination [12, 13]. Knock-down of TEP1 a major immune gene shows general role of pathogen inhibition during immune up regulation. In transiently infected *Anopheles gambiae* inhibitory effect of the presence of wMelPop on *Plasmodium berghei* is rescued by TEP1 [14]. Host background plays a major role in in dengue inhibition phenotype as wAlbB transfection caused dengue inhibition in *Ae. aegypti* [5] but not in original host *i. e. Ae. albopictus* which is an efficient dengue vector the reason could be the heightened immune response of *Ae. albopictus* to *Wolbachia*. It is difficult to say whether any strain of *Wolbachia* could produce inhibition of dengue in *Ae. albopictus* which has evolved for high tolerance of *Wolbachia* over the period of time. The strain of *Wolbachia* from *Drosophila melanogaster* wMelPop can half the life of *D. melanogaster* [15] and *Ae. aegypti* [4] but on trans infecting *Ae. albopictus* adverse result of reduced egg hatching from intra strain mating was obtained thus making this impossible for application for disease control [16]. Significant delay in accumulation of RNA viruses such as *Drosophila* C virus in *D. melanogaster* was caused by wMel [17-19]. wMel strain is phylogenetically close to wMelPop variant [20] but it does not produce life shortening phenotype [15]. Thus, wMel was selected for experimental transfer into *Ae. albopictus* to examine its effect in dengue inhibition and CI.

Background

As a mechanism to control insect population cytoplasmic incompatibility induced by *Wolbachia* is gaining importance due to its ability to manipulate reproduction in natural population [9, 10]. Successful interspecific transfer of *Wolbachia* from *Drosophila melanogaster* in mosquito population has led to reduced life span and it also interferes with pathogen replication this has intrigued several researchers to focus on this method for controlling mosquito borne diseases. Reduction of extrinsic incubation period of *Ae. aegypti* was seen on introduction of *Wolbachia*. *Wolbachia* interacts with wide range of viruses and parasites in mosquitoes including dengue and chikungunya [11]. Another aspect of altering of immune system by *Wolbachia* brought this endosymbiont into limelight as it upregulates the insect-host immune system. Transient suppression of *Plasmodium falciparum* development in *Anopheles gambiae* was also observed on infection with *Wolbachia* [12]. The most striking benefit of *Wolbachia* caused cytoplasmic incompatibility is that it is self-spreading and thus controlling the disease efficiently [15]. The eco-friendly nature of this approach is tempting us for more research in this area. The rapid spread of *Ae. albopictus* from south-east Asia to Africa, America and southern Europe has made it rural/semi urban vector of dengue virus across the tropics [21] calls for an immediate relief. Surprisingly in the studies, there was 7 times more *Wolbachia* in Uju. wMel strain in comparison to superinfected (wAlbA and wAlbB) *Ascoli* strains [22]. Egg hatch rates from intra strain mating in early generation may be negatively affected by increased density of *Wolbachia*. High levels of *Wolbachia* may decrease over time after strain and host co-adaptation.

Approaches for population suppression strategies using *Wolbachia*

Two new approaches have been newly tested in field trials. The first is based on the release of genetically mutated male mosquitoes that bear a dominant fatal genetic code. This approach has been demonstrated to be capable to quell mosquito populations, but requires the unfaltering discharge of a hefty sum of transgenic mosquitoes for proportionate months [23]. Nonetheless, in the absence of a gene-drive system, queries endure about the sustainability of this interference, as the migration of unmodified mosquitoes from nearby are as successive local suppression of a mosquito population requires discharges to persist endlessly, albeit at lower levels than during initial suppression [24]. The second approach comprises the discharge of mosquitoes transinfected by the vertically spread intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia*. *Wolbachia* has been shown to be capable to establish itself in mosquito populations [25], and to suppress arbovirus duplication in mosquitoes, and thus a potential promising means for controlling dengue transmission in endemic settings [26]. Here, we converse the doubts and challenges that lie ahead for the use of *Wolbachia* as a possible new form of biocontrol for dengue.

Wolbachia-insect interaction

Wolbachia Transinfection: *Wolbachia* is an endosymbiotic intracellular bacterium that is naturally existent in approximately 60% of entire insect species [27, 28], comprising several mosquitoes. Mosquito species recognized to be naturally infected with *Wolbachia* include *Culex pipiens* [29] and *Aedes albopictus* [30], one of the vectors for dengue virus, but not *Ae. aegypti*, the primary vector for this virus. *Wolbachia* is communicated maternally and modifies the reproductive phenotype of infected insects to give the bacteria a reproductive advantage relative to uninfected insects. The reproductive phenotype expressed depends on both the insect species and *Wolbachia* strain, and can result in feminisation, male killing, parthenogenesis or, most frequently, cytoplasmic incompatibility [27]. Cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), the phenotype expressed by the *Wolbachia* strains developed for *Ae. aegypti* transfection, renders eggs laid as the outcome of an uninfected female and infected male cross unviable, whereas *Wolbachia*-infected females lay viable *Wolbachia*-encouraging eggs irrespective of the infection status of the male [27]. Nevertheless, depending on the *Wolbachia* strain, infection can force supplementary fitness expenses on infected insects, such as amplified adult mortality [31], reduced fertility [31], prolonged egg and larval growth time [32], and reduced endurance of desiccated eggs [33]. This leads to a trade-off between the reproductive advantages conferred on *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes (relative to wild type) by CI and the fitness expenses of infection, resulting in a frequency-dependent invasion threshold which must be exceeded to guarantee fixation of *Wolbachia* in a wild population [22]. This threshold will also dependent on the degree of vertical transmission among *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes [34, 35]. Although not naturally present in *Ae. aegypti*, *Wolbachia* was introduced into the species artificially *via* transinfection. This was demonstrated for the first time in 2005 by Xi *et al*. [36] when they isolated *Wolbachia*-containing cytoplasm from *Ae. albopictus* eggs, which are naturally infected with both

wAlbA and wAlbB strains, and used microinjection to transfer the bacteria into *Ae. aegypti* eggs, resulting in two stable wAlbB-infected *Ae. aegypti* lines. Laboratory experiments showed almost perfect maternal transmission and CI in these lines. The initial motivation for introducing *Wolbachia* into *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes was to prove that CI could be used as a drive mechanism to introduce transgenes into an *Ae. aegypti* population, rather than make use of the properties of *Wolbachia* itself by means of a dengue-regulator. However, based on the observation that *Drosophila melanogaster* fruit flies infected through the contagious wMelPop strain have enlarged adult mortality [37], it was hypothesised that the translation of these fitness expenses to *Ae. aegypti* might shrink population concentration and the mean lifespan of an infected mosquito (perhaps to below the extrinsic incubation period of dengue virus), thus significantly plummeting their potential to communicate the virus onwards after an infectious blood meal [38]. In 2009, transinfection of the wMelPop *Wolbachia* strain from *Drosophila melanogaster* to *Ae. aegypti* eggs was demonstrated by McMeniman *et al.* [38]. Trials showed a 19% drop in productiveness and an over 50% surge in adult mortality due to wMelPop infection in *Ae. aegypti*. However, while initial cage attack experiments [39] showed that, with a high initial release fraction, wMelPop-infected mosquitoes can invade wild-type populations, the high fitness costs elevated concerns about the viability of establishing wMelPop in the field. Thus, in parallel to the work on wMelPop, an additional, less-virulent *Wolbachia* strain, wMel [40], was also transinfected from *Drosophila* to *Ae. aegypti* [39], with experiments showing nearly flawless CI and maternal transmission, but much lower (non-significant) fecundity and mortality costs of only 10% (vs 50% with wMelPop). Surprisingly, both wMel and wMelPop infection was demonstrated to dramatically decrease vector competence; wMelPop-infected mosquitoes, fed with blood spiked with DENV2 virus, displayed no evidence of dengue virus in their salivary glands after 14 days, compared with 81% of wild-type mosquitoes [39]. Virus replication was also dramatically reduced in wMel-infected *Ae. aegypti*, although not as entirely as for wMelPop, with 4.2% of mosquitoes showing DENV2 virus in their saliva 14 days after blood-feeding [39]. However, further inspection revealed that every pooled sample of saliva showing DENV2 virus after 14 days contained saliva from wMel-uninfected mosquitoes, suggesting that flawed maternal transmission might have contributed to these conclusion [39]. Joubert *et al.* [41] have recently exhibit that *Wolbachia* superinfection is feasible by developing a line of *Ae. aegypti* influence with one and the other wMel and wAlbB and showing that the superinfection causes unidirectional CI when crossed to each single spread to parental line. No momentous differences in fitness were recognized between the superinfected line and either single infected parental line, implying that the superinfection has the capacity to replace single constituent *Wolbachia* stress already present in a mosquito population. Furthermore, upon restriction with blood meals from viraemic dengue patients, the superinfected line was noticed to also reduce dengue virus replication, notably more smoothly than the parental wMel line [41].

From lab to field: limitations of *Wolbachia*

From the laboratory to field releases cage research demonstrated that both wMelPop- and wMel-affect mosquitoes could infest wild-type populations and attain high frequencies [38, 39]. However, for *Wolbachia* to be a feasible dengue-control measure, it was vital to demonstrate that aggression was possible in field conditions. Field releases were also required to understand the real-world dynamics of onslaught and to optimise release policy, especially in the country like India, where firstly use of the insecticide has to be banned for before release of these mosquitoes, as these are also susceptible for insecticide and can ruin all the efforts of this bio-control program. Initial studies in two small communities near Cairns, Australia, in January 2011 [24] engaged the release of almost 300 000 wMel-affect mosquitoes in total over a period of 10 weeks. Counts of wMel-influence and wild-type mosquitoes from traps possessed 5 weeks after the end of releases designated that the frequency of *Wolbachia* in the local *Ae. aegypti* population had attain 90%. More analysis of the data [42] has also grant researchers to examine the spatial patterns of *Wolbachia* plenty during the releases, including the outcome of habitat type and land cover on the ratio of *Wolbachia*-positive *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes, which could mentor the blueprint of future releases. Follow-up studies marked that *Wolbachia* infection is steady and constant, with no measurable drift in maternal transmission or CI, and with long-term average infection frequencies over 94% [43]. Furthermore, they have designated that *Wolbachia* infection continues to diminish vector competence following formation in wild-type populations [44]. Field releases of wMelPop-affect *Ae. aegypti* were operated near Cairns, Australia, and Tri Nguyen, Vietnam [45]. In Australia, 15 releases took place in the wet season over a 4-month period outset in January 2012, with 6 increased releases carried out during the dry season in one location. In Vietnam, instead of adults, pupae were discharged over a period of 23 weeks opening in April 2013, following an alive *Ae. aegypti* elimination campaign. In all locations, the frequency of *Wolbachia* as calculated from trapping data outstrip 90% by the end of the release period; however, by the end of the observing period (approximately 40-60 weeks post-initial release), the repetition had dropped to less than 20%, auxiliary the hypothesis that the fitness value of wMelPop are too strict to be self-sustaining in barbarian populations. Following on from these releases, wMel-affect *Ae. aegypti* have been acquit into, and successfully infect, all three locations within a curtailed release window [45]. So far, all field releases have concentrate on entomological endpoints to create the sustainability of wMel in wild *Ae. aegypti* populations. However, in order to exhibit its activity as a dengue-control part, large-scale discharged are now planned in Indonesia, Vietnam, Australia, Colombia and Brazil [46]. While, in this article, we target on the use of *Wolbachia* as a population substitute strategy (*i. e.* permanently establishing *Wolbachia* in the *Ae. aegypti* population), the phenotype *Wolbachia* infection donate can also be abused as an alternative to hereditary modification or radiation-based castration for population-suppression strategies confiding on the release of barren males. The Singaporean National Environment Agency managed small-scale field releases of *Wolbachia*-affected male mosquitoes, inception in Singapore

in 2016, to test the separation, longevity and competitiveness of *Wolbachia*-carrying mosquitoes related to male *Ae. aegypti* in an urban setting. In addition, two US companies, Mosquito Mateiv and Verily partnered to conduct a large-scale population-suppression trial using this approach in Florida in 2017. In the similar way it is also being accepted for *Ae. albopictus* suppression in Guangzhou, China. However, a dare with using *Wolbachia* as a barren male technology is the need for exceedingly accurate sex-sorting of insects prior to release, since the inadvertent release of even a limited proportion of *Wolbachia*-contaminated females poses the hazard of turning a population-suppression planning into a population-alternate one, that is, the formation of breeding *Wolbachia*-affected females in the area where the wild-type population has been restrained by the male releases.

Dynamics of invasion of *Wolbachia*

The successful formation and stretch of *Wolbachia* in any given anchor population is reliant on the trade-off between the fitness benefits and costs acquired by infection with the bacteria. As first adorned by Caspari and Watson [47], using a genetic model of discrete generation population, this trade-off conclusions in bistable dynamics, where two stable serenity exist-one where epidemic frequency is zero, and one where there is a large proportion of epidemic individuals. To reach the non-zero stability, infection frequency must outstrip a critical threshold value, tenacious by the trade-off between the related reduction in fertility of infected females and the severity of CI [34, 35, 47-49]. Extensions of this model foreseen that, if *Wolbachia* infection diminish the lifespan of tainted hosts, a higher initial regularity of infected particulars may be necessary for successful incursion [50]. In addition, if maternal transmission is not proper, the speed of spread may be reduced [51] and coexistence is more likely, as wholesome individuals are regularly introduced into the host population [34, 35]. Furthermore, when overlapping generations are integrated into models, the vital threshold frequency is visible to depend on the production rate and age-structure of the population, in inclusion to the fitness outcome of *Wolbachia* on the population [49]. Stochastic response may also play a significant role in the incursion dynamics, most notably when population sizes are small [52, 53], as introductory infection frequencies below the (decisive) threshold frequency can guide to successful *Wolbachia* establishment [52], and contrarily, frequencies above the threshold do not necessarily manage to establishment. Although, locally *Wolbachia* infection may establish, this does not undoubtedly guarantee dimensional spread, as spread above the local environment relies on the starting infection frequency, the crucial threshold frequency, the diffusion behaviour of the host population, and features of the elemental environment [48, 54-58]. Initial scrutiny by Turelli and Hoffmann showed that a vital frequency threshold of less than 0.5 is necessary for spatial spread to arise following local formation [48]. Schreiber *et al*. showed a similar outcome accounting for lifespan-shortening as well as reproductive power-reducing effects [59]. Other analysis exhibited that, as the critical threshold accesses 0.5, wave speed slows impressively, suggesting that, in pragmatic terms, a critical threshold value of 0.35 or less is necessary for dimensional spread [57, 60]. The crucial threshold value, along with the starting infection frequency and scattering behaviour of the host population, resolves the size of the release area necessary to begin spatial spread, with larger release areas needed for higher threshold values [60]. Long-range diffusion, as compared with local (Gaussian) diffusion, is foreseen to reduce both the size of the release area required and the wave speed [57]. Environmental diversities, such as sharp changes in population density or dwelling quality, may also slow or halt invasion unless migration of affected individuals from neighbouring areas is plentiful to allow the critical threshold frequency to be outstripped at the local level [57, 58, 60, 61]. Models which examine the life cycle and demographics of mosquito populations have provided further insight into the passage of *Wolbachia* spread. Rather than mainly focusing on frequency thresholds for infection spread, population dynamics models rather consider how elements such as density-reliant competition, seasonal changes in plenty, and population size involve the spread of *Wolbachia* through mosquito populations. The degree of density-dependent competition amid the larval stage of population growth is anticipated to have a considerable impact on the dynamics noticed, with larger numbers of affected mosquitoes needing to be discharged to initiate spatial spread in populations subject to high levels of competition [62-64]. Last, seasonal change in mosquito abundance is foreseen to affect establishment, with releases executed early in the wet season to concur with early stages of population progress more likely to succeed [65]. Successful formation in field settings will therefore count on both the number of releases [57, 63, 65] and the type of release [65].

Effect of *Wolbachia* on transmission of dengue

Release of *Wolbachia*-carrying *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes has the capacity to decrease, and in some settings, exclude dengue transmission *via* two mechanisms (a) by condensing mosquito population density and/or lifespan (b) by reducing mosquito capability to transmit dengue. Estimating the likely impact of these two effects on dengue transportation in the field from empirical data poses challenges. Early assessments of impacts on vector proficiency [39] used blood artificially barbed with virus and evaluated almost complete suppression of viral duplicate in *Ae. aegypti* [65-67]. Such levels of elimination would be expected to attain suppression of transmission of dengue in contexts where wMel is capable to be established in the local *Aedes* population at end to 100% frequency, given the rather low basic reproduction number (the ordinary number of human infections originated by a typical human contagion in an immunologically naïve population), R0, of dengue [68]. However, latest, more pragmatic experiments used fresh blood from dengue patients and recognized somewhat lower levels of viral suppression compared with the previous work [25]. The wMelPop strain was still observed to give profound resistance to dengue infection (leading to >90% obstruction of transmission), but wMel-infected (and wild-type) mosquitoes demonstrated infection rates which relied on the level of viremia in the blood meal [26]. Artificial infection experiments have also exhibited the potential for wMel to partly suppress replication of a range of other arboviruses, as well as Chikungunya, Yellow Fever, and Zika [65-67]. When suppression is limited, and dependent on viral titre in blood meals, modelling is needed to anticipate the overall impact of wMel disease on transmission [26]. To estimate the likely

impact of wMel infection on dengue, Ferguson *et al*. linked data on viral dynamics within the human host with those in the vector, with the aim of render the laboratory results into measure of the potential impact of wMel on the R0 of the distinct dengue serotypes [26]. This analysis anticipated that wMel infection would diminish R0 by 66% for DENV1 and 75% for DENV2/3/4, and therefore contended that universal release of *Ae. aegypti* infected with wMel could oust dengue in low-to-moderate transmission settings (i. e. where R0<3-4), even if infection with *Wolbachia* had no fitness costs on mosquitoes. Limited mathematical modelling has been initiated to date on the likely brunt of *Wolbachia* on arbovirus transmission progress. In theoretically concentrated studies, Hancock *et al*. [65] examined the effect of *Wolbachia* on transmission of a malaria-like disease, while Hughes and Britton [69] examined the potential impact of a *Wolbachia* strain with splendid maternal transmission and CI on the transmission of a single-strain arbovirus. Both studies inspected the incursion dynamics of *Wolbachia* and how the phenotypic criterion of *Wolbachia*, which affect transmission and criterion pathogen transmissibility, decisive the overall impact of *Wolbachia* on disease transmission. Other analysis has used simplified constituent models of dengue transmission to study parallel issues [70-73], including the capacity impact of *Wolbachia* on dengue epidemics in non-endemic settings [71].

Discussion

RNA viral interdict is produced by wMel when transferred to *Ae. albopictus* has already demonstrated in *Drosophila* [18]. wMel can be worn for dengue virus transmission in *Ae. albopictus*. This prohibition is restricted to specific strain of *Wolbachia* as other two strains that with which *Ae. albopictus* is usually infected *i. e.* wAlbA and wAlbB have no inhibitory reaction on virus.

The infected males from *Wolbachia* are released to mate with the native population of *Aedes* first so that the population of *Aedes* comes down due to non-production of viable offspring due to cytoplasmic incompatibility this type of release is ethic release as releasing males which has a non-biting mouth can't raise any ethical question on biting of the released mosquitoes. However, after releasing the males there is a need to release infected females also in the system where there is need to control for *Aedes* population due to requirement of the population that is incompatible for dengue virus growth.

There are some success stories of population replacement in China, Australia but still the outcome indicator incidences of dengue need to be verified.

Although *Wolbachia* is found in 65-70% insects naturally. *Wolbachia* have associated with these insects in their germ line many years back and retained it is impossible to say that mosquitoes did not had it however, *Wolbachia* might have detached from its germline during the course of evolution and or *Aedes* have found the way to keep themselves free from this endosymbiont due to many reasons. Now the techniques are available to associate these dissociated combinations of *Wolbachia* and mosquito which may provide a better solution naturally to the deadly viruses like dengue, chikungunya and zika.

Conclusion

Extensive use of insecticides are causing great harm to ecosystem by bio magnification and are helpful in controlling insect population only on short-term basis as on a long run it leads to the evolution of insecticide resistant population more difficult to be taken care of. This has led to thinking of the development of alternate eco-friendly measures. *Wolbachia* based control strategies offers a great opportunity in controlling mosquito borne diseases firstly, by unidirectional incompatibility leading to reduction of mosquito population but this method requires periodic release of *Wolbachia* infected male mosquito regularly. Other method which include incorporating *Wolbachia* in mosquito by release of infected female, this method won't demand for repeated introduction of mosquito as the *Wolbachia* infected female will increase in number due to their greater fitness advantage. This latter strategy offers to control either by infecting mosquito with life shortening *Wolbachia* or with *Wolbachia* that blocks viral transmission. Future experiments are needed to examine if we can generate a stable wMel/wAlbA/wAlbB triple infection. We can speculate according to previous work [33] that crossing type of this triple infection can produce unidirectional incompatibility with the parental lines and thus can spread wMel more efficiently through field population. However, at the initial field trial stage, bidirectional incompatible line would be preferable.

Future Challenges

Gigantic progress has been done in the last decade to carry us to the current point, with *Wolbachia* located as one of the most auspicious novel mediations to control dengue and other arboviruses. However, several major threat remain answered. Despite the large impact that modelling anticipate large-scale *Wolbachia* releases will have on dengue transmission, experimentally appraising effectiveness in the field is not candid [75, 76]. Dengue incidence is highly fickle over time and over rather short spatial scales, constraining either a long duration trial in a small number of area or a shorter trial in a large number of sites [73, 74]. Being an area-based mediation, randomisation in an effectiveness trial needs to occur at the site rather than the associate level, necessitating a cluster-randomized design. Furthermore, even in high-transmission settings, annual frequency of clinical dengue seldom exceeds 5% in any age group, requiring large companions of trial participants to be enlisted to achieve ample trial power [75]. Somewhat greater power (or smaller numbers of participants) can be attained using seroconversion as the trial end-point, but this constrains bleeding participants (usually young children) at numerous time points over the trial. 'infection' also presents a challenge if the *Wolbachia* release areas in a trial are too small, participants local in those areas may be defined to dengue when they travel outside those areas, or by wild-type mosquitoes immigrate in. Similarly, if control areas are situated close to mediation areas, participants resident in the former may moderately benefit from the protection endowed by the recent, particularly if *Wolbachia* spreads from the intervention to the control areas over the course of a trial. These challenges have directed to consideration of other more novel study designs to calculate impact. One auspicious approach is to modify the test-negative design used for appraising influenza vaccine effectiveness [77] to a bunch-randomised trial context. A sample of delirious patients with dengue-like indications seeking healthcare are recruited

across both arbitration and control areas, their residential location is resolute, and they are virologically tested for dengue. If *Wolbachia* diminishes dengue transmission, the ratio of test-positive to test-negative patients is presumed to be lower in intervention areas than in manage areas. A cluster-randomized trial of wMel releases using a test-negative end-point design is now ongoing across the city of Yogyakarta in Indonesia, with results awaited in late 2019. A substitute approach to analysing effectiveness, albeit giving a lower criterion of evidence, is simply to release *Wolbachia* across a large urban area and examine the impact upon dengue incidence trends observed through routine supervision. Given the imperfect particularity of clinical diagnosis of dengue, augmented virological confirmation of imagined dengue cases is desirable in such studies. While such observational approaches cannot create antecedent, should the effect size be as large as the modelling talked above predicts, a large abbreviation in dengue case numbers should be expected. So long as this reduction was constant, as more observation time assembled, it would be increasingly difficult to characteristic the noticed reduction to chance effects, especially if dengue frequency outside the release area continued at similar levels to previously. Such an approach is being embraced for the two largest range releases of wMel currently underway-in Medellin, Colombia, and in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. By late 2019, plentiful data should have assembled in both cities to make primary assessments of effectiveness. Hopefully assuming that the studies currently underway exhibit that wMel discharge leads to large falls in dengue frequency, there are additional challenges elaborate in transforming *Wolbachia* into a fully operationalized, standardised, economical vector-control 'product' capable of large-scale deeply cost-effective deployment. Facilities for the bulk production of mosquitoes have become increasingly refined and resource-adequate over the last few years, due to the expansion and testing of both *Wolbachia* and hereditarily modified mosquitoes. However, all *Wolbachia* field releases to date have been very gloomily monitored via fine spatial scale networks of mosquito traps, with release sizes and durations being adaptably tuned based on the recognized spread of *Wolbachia* into the robust-type population. Such high levels of equipment are less attainable for routine large-scale functional deployment and would add essentially to costs, but more analysis is needed to optimise standardised release customs to maximise the chance of successful formation while minimising the period of releases and numbers of mosquitoes requiring to be released. As a weapon, also it could be expected to potentially evolve its phenotypic characteristics to over time [78], perhaps leading to deductions in the extent of transmission hindering. In addition, current *Wolbachia* strains begun into *Ae. aegypti* may not be maximize their fitness costs, level of hindering, or sensitivity towards temperature [79]. It will therefore be essential to maintain development of a conduit of new strains capable of being successfully released into *Ae. aegypti* populations where wMel has already been settled. The promising results from experiments testing the degree of viral repression achieved in *Ae. aegypti* superinfected with both wMel and wAlbB [41] advise that the release of superinfected mosquitoes might be an effective scenario to manage potential deductions in the capacity of single *Wolbachia* strains to block transmission. Nevertheless, although these challenges, *Wolbachia* represents a highly creative and stimulating new approach to vector control for arboviral infection, and the first which offers the real prospect of vividly reducing the global disease strain caused by those pathogens. Mathematical create will continue to have an significant role to play in auxiliary to overcome the challenges muddled in evaluating the efficiency of *Wolbachia* and transforming it into a entirely operationalized, cost-effective solution capable of being globally planted.

References

1. Sinkins SP, Braig HR, O Neill SL. *Wolbachia* superinfections and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility. Proc Biol Sci. 1995; 261:325-330.
2. Zhou W, Rousset F, O Neil S. Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia* strains using wsp gene sequences. ProcBiolSci. 1998; 265:509-515.
3. Moreira LA. A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell. 2009; 139:1268-1278.
4. McMeniman CJ. Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Science. 2009; 323:141-144.
5. Bian G, Xu Y, Lu P, Xie Y, Xi Z. The endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia* induces resistance to dengue virus in *Aedes aegypti*. PLoSPathog. 2010; 6:1000-833.
6. Xi Z, Khoo CC, Dobson SL. *Wolbachia* establishment and invasion in an *Aedes aegypti* laboratory population. Science. 2005; 310:326-328.
7. Turelli M, Hoffmann AA. Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California Drosophila. Nature. 1991; 353:440-442.
8. Turelli M, Hoffmann AA. Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans* Dynamics and parameter estimates from natural populations. Genetics. 1995; 140:1319-1338.
9. Hoffmann AA, Turelli M. In Influential Passengers, eds O'Neill RV, Hoffmann AA, Werren JH (Oxford Univ Press, Oxford), 1997, 42-80.
10. Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Walker T, O Neill SL. *Wolbachia* and the biological control of mosquito-borne disease. EMBO Rep. 2011; 12:508-518.
11. Kambris Z, Cook PE, Phuc HK, Sinkins SP. Immune activation by life-shortening *Wolbachia* and reduced filarial competence in mosquitoes. Science. 2009; 326:134-136.
12. Blagrove. PNAS Genetics. 2012; 109(1): 259.
13. Zambon RA, Nandakumar M, Vakharia VN, Wu LP. The Toll pathway is important for an antiviral response in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:7257-7262.
14. Xi Z, Ramirez JL, Dimopoulos G. The *Aedes aegypti* toll pathway controls dengue virus infection. PLoS Pathog. 2008; 4:1000-098.
15. Kambris Z. *Wolbachia* stimulates immune gene expression and inhibits plasmodium development in *Anopheles gambiae*. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6:1001-143.
16. Min KT, Benzer S. *Wolbachia*, normally a symbiont of Drosophila, can be virulent, causing degeneration and early death. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94:10792-10796.

17. Suh E, Mercer DR, Fu Y, Dobson SL. Pathogenicity of life-shortening *Wolbachia* in *Aedes albopictus* after transfer from Drosophila melanogaster. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75:7783-7788.
18. Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O Neill SL, Johnson KN. *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. Science. 2008; 322:702.
19. Osborne SE, Leong YS, O Neill SL, Johnson KN. Variation in antiviral protection mediated by different *Wolbachia* strains in *Drosophila simulans*. PLoS Pathog. 2009; 5:1000-656.
20. Teixeira L, Ferreira A, Ashburner M. The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces resistance to RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoSBiol. 2008; 6:2.
21. Sun LV, Riegler M, O Neill SL. Development of a physical and genetic map of the virulent *Wolbachia* strain wMelPop. J Bacteriol. 2003; 185:7077-7084.
22. Gratz NG. Critical review of the vector status of *Aedes albopictus*. Med Vet Entomol. 2004; 18:215-227.
23. Haygood R. Turelli M. Evolution of incompatibility-inducing microbes in subdivided host populations. Evolution. 2009; 63:432-447.
24. Carvalho DO. Suppression of a field population of Aedes aegypti in Brazil by sustained release of transgenic male mosquitoes. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2015; 9:0003-864.
25. Huang YJ. Biological control strategies for mosquito vectors of arboviruses. Insects. 2017; 8:21.
26. Hoffmann AA. Successful establishment of Wolba-chia in *Aedes* populations to suppress dengue transmission. Nature. 2011; 476:454-457.
27. Ferguson NM. Modeling the impact on virus trans-mission of *Wolbachia*-mediated blocking of dengue virus infection of *Aedes aegypti*. Sci. Transl. Med. 2015; 7:27937-27937.
28. Werren JH. *Wolbachia* master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2008; 6:741-751.
29. Zug R, Hammerstein P. Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: Analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terres-trial arthropod species are infected. PLoS One. 2012; 7:385-44.
30. Hertig M. The rickettsia, *Wolbachia pipientis* (gen. etsp. n.) and associated inclusions of the mosquito, Culexpipiens. Parasitology. 1936; 28:453-486.
31. Kittayapong P. Field prevalence of *Wolbachia* in the mosquito vector *Aedes albopictus*. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2002; 66:108-111.
32. Fry AJ. Variable fitness effects of *Wolbachia* infection in Drosophila melanogaster. Heredity. 2004; 93:379-389.
33. Ross PA. Larval competition extends developmen-tal time and decreases adult size of wMelPop *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes aegypti*. Am. J Trop. Med. Hyg. 2014; 91:198-205.
34. Ritchie SA. Application of wMelPop *Wolbachia* strain to crash local populations of *Aedes aegypti*. PLoSNegl. Trop. Dis. 2015; 9:0003-930.
35. Fine PEM. On the dynamics of symbiote-dependent cytoplasmic incompatibility in culicine mosquitoes. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 2015; 31:10-18.
36. Turelli M, Hoffmann AA. Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*-dynamics and parameter estimates from natural-populations. Genetics. 1995; 140:1319-1338.
37. Xi Z. *Wolbachia* establishment and invasion in an *Aedes aegypti* laboratory population. Science. 2005; 310:326-328.
38. Min KT. Benzer S. *Wolbachia*, normally a symbiont of Drosophila, can be virulent, causing degeneration and early death. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1997; 94:10792-10796.
39. McMeniman CJ. Stable introduction of a life-short-ening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Sci-ence. 2009; 323:141-144.
40. Walker T. The wMel *Wolbachia* strain blocks dengue and invades caged *Aedes aegypti* populations. Nature. 2011; 476:450-453.
41. Riegler M. Evidence for a global *Wolbachia* replace-ment in Drosophila melanogaster. Curr. Biol. 2005; 15:1428-1433.
42. Joubert DA. Establishment of a *Wolbachia* super-infection in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes as a potential approach for future resistance management. PLoS Pathog. 2016; 12:1005-434.
43. Hoffmann AA. Invasion of *Wolbachia* at the resi-dential block level is associated with local abundance of Stego-myiaaegypti, yellow fever mosquito, populations and property attributes. Med. Vet. Entomol. 2014; 28:90-97.
44. Hoffmann AA. Stability of the wMel *Wolbachia* infection following invasion into *Aedes aegypti* populations. PLoSNegl. Trop. Dis. 2014; 8:3115-112.
45. Trends in Parasitology. 2018; 34:2.
46. Frentiu FD. Limited dengue virus replication in field-collected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia*. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2014; 8:26-88.
47. Nguyen TH. Field evaluation of the establishment potential of wMelPop *Wolbachia* in Australia and Vietnam for dengue control. Parasit. Vectors. 2015; 8:563.
48. Callaway E. Rio fights Zika with biggest release yet of bacteria-infected mosquitoes. Nature. 2016; 539:17-18.
49. Caspari E, Watson GS. On the evolutionary impor-tance of cytoplasmic sterility in mosquitoes. Evolution. 1959; 13:568.
50. Turelli M, Hoffmann AA. Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California Drosophila. Nature. 1991; 353:440-442.
51. Turelli M. Cytoplasmic incompatibility in populations with overlapping generations. Evolution. 2010; 64:232-241.
52. Brownstein JS. The potential of virulent *Wolbachia* to modulate disease transmission by insects. J Invertebr. Pathol. 2003; 84:24-29.
53. Schofield P. Spatially explicit models of Turelli-Hoffmann *Wolbachia* invasive wave fronts. J Theor. Biol. 2002; 215:121-131.
54. Jansen VA. Stochastic spread of *Wolbachia*. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2008; 275:2769-2776.
55. Hu L. *Wolbachia* spread dynamics in stochastic environments. Theor. Popul. Biol. 2015; 106:32-44.
56. Keeling MJ. The invasion and coexistence of competing *Wolbachia* strains. Heredity. 2003; 91:382-388.

57. Guevara-Souza M, Vallejo EE. A computer simulation model of *Wolbachia* invasion for disease vector population modification. BMC Bioinformatics. 2015; 16:317.
58. Schofield P. Evolution of searching and life history characteristics in individual-based models of host-parasitoid-microbe associations. J Theor. Biol. 2005; 237:1-16.
59. Turelli M, Barton, NH. Deploying dengue-suppressing *Wolbachia* robust models predict slow but effective spatial spread in *Aedes aegypti*. Theor. Popul. Biol. 2017; 115:45-60.
60. Schmidt TL. Local introduction and heterogeneous spatial spread of dengue-suppressing Wolbachia through an urban population of *Aedes aegypti*. PLoS Biol. 2017; 15:2001-894.
61. Schraiber JG. Constraints on the use of lifespan-shortening *Wolbachia* to control dengue fever. J Theor. Biol. 2012; 297:26-32.
62. Barton NH, Turelli M. Spatial waves of advance with bistable dynamics: cytoplasmic and genetic analogues of Allee effects. Am. Nat. 2011; 178:48-75.
63. Hancock PA, Godfray HCJ. Modelling the spread of *Wolbachia* in spatially heterogeneous environments. J R. Soc. Interface. 2012; 9:3045-3054.
64. Crain PR. *Wolbachia* infections that reduce imma-ture insect survival: predicted impacts on population replace-ment. BMC Evol. Biol. 2011; 11:290.
65. Hancock PA. Population dynamic models of the spread of *Wolbachia*. Am. Nat. 2011; 177:323-333.
66. Hancock PA. Predicting *Wolbachia* invasion dynamics in *Aedes aegypti* populations using models of density-depen-dent demographic traits. BMC Biol. 2016; 14:96.
67. Hancock PA. Strategies for introducing *Wolbachia* to reduce transmission of mosquito-borne diseases. PLoSNegl. Trop. Dis. 2011; 5:10-24.
68. Moreira LA. A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell. 2009; 139:1268-1278.
69. Van den Hurk AF. Impact of *Wolbachia* on infection with chikungunya and yellow fever viruses in the mosquito vector *Aedes aegypti*. PLoSNegl. Trop. Dis. 2012; 6:18-92.
70. Dutra HLC. *Wolbachia* blocks currently circulating Zika virus isolates in Brazilian *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. Cell Host Microbe. 2016; 19:7717-7469.
71. Johansson MA. Models of the impact of dengue vaccines: a review of current research and potential approaches. Vaccine. 2011; 29:5860-5868.
72. Hughes H, Britton, NF. Modelling the use of *Wolbachia* to control dengue fever transmission. Bull. Math. Biol. 2013; 75:796-818.
73. Supriatna AK, Anggriani N. System dynamics model of *Wolbachia* infection in dengue transmission. Procedia Eng. 2012; 50:12-18.
74. Ndii MZ. Modelling the transmission dynamics of engue in the presence of *Wolbachia*. Math. Biosci. 2015; 262:157-166.
75. Ndii MZ. The effect of *Wolbachia* on dengue out-breaks when dengue is repeatedly introduced. Theor. Popul. Biol. 2016; 111:9-1574.
76. Ndii MZ. The effect of *Wolbachia* on dengue dynamics in the presence of two serotypes of dengue: symmetric and asymmetric epidemiological characteristics. Epidemiol. Infect. 2016; 144:2874-2882.
77. Schmidt TL. Fine-scale landscape genomics helps explain the slow spread of *Wolbachia* through the *Aedes aegypti* population in Cairns, Australia. biorxiv Published online Septem-ber. 2017; 17:20-17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/103598 71.
78. Wolbers M. Considerations in the design of clinical trials to test novel entomological approaches to dengue control. PLoSNegl. Trop. Dis. 2012; 6:193-777.
79. Lambrechts L. Assessing the epidemiological effect of *Wolbachia* for dengue control. Lancet Infect. Dis. 2015; 15:862-866.
80. Jackson ML, Nelson JC. The test-negative design for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness. Vaccine. 2013; 31:2165-2168.
81. Weeks AR. From parasite to mutualist rapid evo-lution of *Wolbachia* in natural populations of Drosophila. PLoS Biol. 2007; 5:0997-1005.